

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/697,310	10/31/2003	Ming-Tao Hua	9885	
7590 04/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Troxell Law Office PLLC			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
	Pike Suite 1404			
Falls Church, VA 22041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3764	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary         10/697,310         HUA           Examiner         Art           Michael Brown         376	A, MING-TAO Unit			
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Michael Brown 376	•			
Michael Brown 376	Unit			
	64			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corres Period for Reply	spondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely file after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 In Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ed the considered timely. ailing date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 January 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.	.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 C				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected	d to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action	on or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) €	or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application N	lo			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in	this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent of the control of	Application (P10-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/697,310

Art Unit: 3764

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is clear how the neck section has dilated section flaring outwardly. It appears as if the dilated section flaring outward is the message section not a portion of the neck section.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10 as understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Chubinsky.

Huang discloses in figure 1 a circular main body 11, a plurality of massage bodies 13 having a massage section (the circular part of 13) and a neck section 12. However, Huang does not disclose the main body having a threaded opening, the massage portion having a dilated section flaring outwardly from a second end of the massage body, the threaded opening including three openings or the neck section having a projection. Chubinsky teaches in figures 1-4 a main body 30 having a threaded opening (33, 35) a plurality of message bodies (the bodies in figure 4 are

Art Unit: 3764

shaped differently), having a message section 22 that is a dilated section on a second end, a threaded section 27 on a first end, a projection section 25 and the neck and message sections are integrally made. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the circular body disclosed by Huang could be formed with a threaded opening as taught by Chubinsky in order to be able to attach and detach the message body from the main body. Since the prior art in Huang discloses three message bodies it is inherent that three threaded openings would be formed into the main body to attach the message bodies to the main body. The projection adds strength and stability to the neck section.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 31, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Huang does not disclose a circular body having at least one threaded opening; each neck section having a threaded section located on a first end; each neck section having a dilated section flaring outwardly from a second end and connected to a massage section. Applicant also argues that Chubinsky does not disclose a circular body having a threaded opening; each neck section having a dilated section flaring outwardly from a second end and connected to a massage section. However, Huang was used to set forth the environment of a hand held massage device having a main circular body having a plurality of massage bodies. Chubinsky was used as a modifier to provide an alternative attaching device to attach the massage bodies to the main body. Chubinsky clearly teaches a threaded opening. Chubinsky, also teaches a plurality of massage bodies having a thread on one end and

Art Unit: 3764

a dilated section flaring outwardly on a second end. Applicant argues that the combination of Haung and Chubinsky does not disclose a projection located adjacent to the threaded section. However, the projection (25) is adjacent to the threaded section (27).

#### Conclusion .

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gergory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,310

Art Unit: 3764

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Brown April 5, 2005

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael G. Br